The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) is a public-funded project that aims to catalogue and discover major cancer-causing genomic alterations to create a comprehensive “atlas” of cancer genomic profiles. So far, TCGA researchers have analysed large cohorts of over 30 human tumours through large-scale genome sequencing and integrated multi-dimensional analyses. Studies of individual cancer types, as well as comprehensive pan-cancer analyses have extended current knowledge of tumorigenesis. A major goal of the project was to provide publicly available datasets to help improve diagnostic methods, treatment standards, and finally to prevent cancer. This review discusses the current status of TCGA Research Network structure, purpose, and achievements.
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New roads to conquer cancer

Cancer is considered the most complex disease that mankind has to face. More than 200 forms of cancer have been described and each type can be characterised by different molecular profiles requiring unique therapeutic strategies. Cancer involves dynamic changes in the genome [1]. The architecture of occurring genetic aberrations such as somatic mutations, copy number variations, changed gene expression profiles, and different epigenetic alterations, is unique for each type of cancer. The demand for better diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of cancer has appeared, and strongly correlates with a better understanding of genetic changes in the tumour. The latest progress in the technological development of genome-wide sequencing and bioinformatics has shed new light on the cancer genome [2–4]. In 2005, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and in 2008 the International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC) were launched as the two main projects accelerating the comprehensive understanding of the genetics of cancer using innovative genome analysis technologies, helping to generate new cancer therapies, diagnostic methods, and preventive strategies [5, 6].

The National Institute of Health (NIH) launched TCGA Pilot Project to create a comprehensive “atlas” of cancer genomic profiles. The TCGA is a public-funded project that aims to catalogue and discover major cancer-causing genome alterations in large cohorts of over 30 human tumours through large-scale genome sequencing and integrated multi-dimensional analyses. Providing publicly available cancer genomic datasets will allow the improvement of diagnostic methods, treatment standards, and finally cancer prevention. Providing publicly available cancer genomic datasets will allow the improvement of diagnostic methods, treatment standards, and finally cancer prevention. Phase I of the project (a 3-year pilot study) aimed to develop and test the research infrastructure based on the characterisation of chosen tumours having poor prognosis: brain, lung, and ovarian cancers. Since 2009 (phase II) analyses have expanded to additional types reaching 30 different tumour types analysed by 2014. The TCGA project engaged scientists and managers from NIH’s National Cancer Institute (NCI) and National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI) funded by the US government, as well as cooperating with institutions across the USA and Europe. To run the project, the NCI as well as the NHGRI each invested $50 million for the 3-year pilot study. Additional funding was also provided from different sources, such as the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA), to help stimulate the US economy in the context of biomedicine [5–7].

In this review, we provide a short description of TCGA structure and the major goals of the project. Furthermore, we intend to expound on current knowledge of platforms, analytical tools, and visualisation methods that were applied for TCGA data generation. As it would be overwhelming to
discuss all the updates of the new discoveries in cancer profiling, we have focused on the updates of the main tumour types with poor overall prognosis in patients. We hope that an understanding of some of the fundamentals, recent updates of cancer genomic profiles, and new discoveries utilising open access TCGA data will afford each researcher to extend their current knowledge in this area and therefore help to find new roads for cancer treatment and prevention.

The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network

The structure of TCGA is well organised and involves several cooperating centres responsible for collection and sample processing, followed by high-throughput sequencing and sophisticated bioinformatics data analyses (Table 1). First, different Tissue Source Sites (TSSs) collect the required biospecimens (blood, tissue) from eligible cancer patients and deliver them to the Biospecimen Core Resource (BCR). Next, the BCR catalogue, process, and verify the quality and quantity of samples, and then submit clinical data and metadata to the Data Coordinating Center (DCC) and provide molecular analytes for the Genome Characterization Centers (GCCs) and Genome Sequencing Centers (GSCs) for further genomic characterisation and high-throughput sequencing. Then, sequence-related data are deposited in the DCC. The Genome Characterisation Centers also submit trace files, sequences, and alignment mappings to NCI’s Cancer Genomics Hub (CGHub) secure repository. The generated genomic data is made available to the research community and Genome Data Analysis Centers (GDACs). The GDACs provide new information-processing, analysis, and visualisation tools to the entire research community to facilitate broader use of TCGA data. Furthermore, the information generated by the TCGA Research Network is centrally managed at the DCC and entered into public free-access databases (TCGA Portal, NCBI’s Trace Archive, dbGaP database is the official repository for the actual sequence data [10].

MicroRNA sequencing (miRNaseq) is a type of RNA-Seq, utilising material enriched in small RNAs, allowing the detection of specific sets of short, noncoding RNAs (miRNAs) that have the capacity to regulate hundreds of genes within and across diverse signalling pathways. Moreover, miRNA-sequencing defines tissue-specific miRNA expression profiles, their isoforms, connection with diseases, and the discovery of unreported miRNAs [11–15].

DNA sequencing (DNAseq) is a high-throughput method for determining the nucleotides within a DNA molecule, providing information about DNA alterations, such as insertions, deletions, polymorphism as well as copy number variation, mutation frequencies, or viral infection events. To catalogue the genomic diversity across cancer types, TCGA Genome Sequencing Centers utilise DNA sequencing systems based on Sanger Sequencing [16–18].

SNP-based platforms are used to analyse genome-wide structural variation across multiple cancer genomes. The TCGA researchers have chosen the most powerful genotyping tools. Array-based detection of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) included platforms able to define SNP CNV, and loss of LOH across multiple samples [19, 20].

Array-based DNA methylation sequencing is a high-throughput, genome-wide analysis of DNA methylation profile providing information of epigenetic changes in the genome. Abnormal profile of DNA methylation of CpG sites is among the earliest and most frequent alterations in cancer [21, 22]. The TCGA utilises DNA methylation assay mainly based on the Illumina platform, assuring single-base-pair resolution, high accuracy, easy workflows, and low input DNA requirements. Methylation profiling technologies are based on highly multiplexed genotyping of bisulphite-converted genomic DNA. The TCGA DNA methylation data files contain information of signal intensities (raw and normalised), detection confidence, and calculated beta values for methylated (M) and unmethylated (U) probes [23].

Reverse-phase protein array (RPPA) is a highly sensitive (detecting nanograms of proteins), reproducible, high-throughput, functional and quantitative proteomic method for large-scale protein expression profiling, biomarker discovery, and cancer diagnostics. Reverse-phase protein array is an antibody-based technique allowing for the analysis of > 1000 samples with up to 500 different antibodies at a time. Protein arrays contain data of protein expression and concentration. The data archives are deposited to the TCGA DCC and include original images of protein arrays, calculated raw signals, relative concentrations of proteins, and normalised protein signals [24–28].

Each platform can potentially produce many kinds of data (data types), such as the following: gene expression, exon expression, miRNA expression, copy number variation (CNV), single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), loss of heterozygosity (LOH), mutations, DNA methylation, and protein expression. Generated data are categorised not
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Centre Name</th>
<th>Centre Description</th>
<th>Localisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tissue Source Sites (TSSs)</strong></td>
<td>Collection of the samples (blood and tissue from tumour and normal controls) and clinical metadata from patients (donors) Shipment of the annotated biospecimens to Biospecimen Core Resources (BCR)</td>
<td>[71x751]<a href="https://wiki.nci.nih.gov/display/TCGA/Tissue+Source+Site">https://wiki.nci.nih.gov/display/TCGA/Tissue+Source+Site</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biospecimen Core Resource (BCR)</strong></td>
<td>Coordination of sample delivery and data collection, cataloguing, processing, and verifying the quality and quantity Isolation and distribution of RNA and DNA from biospecimens to other institutions for genomic characterisation and high-throughput sequencing</td>
<td>Research Institute at Nationwide Children’s Hospital in Columbus, Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genome Sequencing Centers (GSCS)</strong></td>
<td>High-throughput sequencing (data are available in TCGA Data Portal or at NIH’s database of Genotype and Phenotype) Identification of the DNA alterations</td>
<td>Broad Institute Sequencing Platform in Cambridge Human Genome Sequencing Center, Baylor College of Medicine in Houston The Genome Institute at Washington University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cancer Genome Characterisation Centers (GCCs)</strong></td>
<td>Utilisation of novel technologies and multiple platforms Comprehensive description of the genomic changes: alterations in miRNA and gene expression, SNP, CNV, and others</td>
<td>Copy Number Alteration (Brigham and Women’s Hospital and Harvard Medical School in Boston, The Broad Institute in Cambridge) Epigenomics (University of Southern California in Los Angeles, Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore) Gene (miRNA) Expression (University of North California at Chapel Hill) miRNA Analysis (British Columbia Cancer Agency in Vancouver) Targeted Sequencing Center (Baylor College of Medicine in Houston) Functional Proteomics (MD Anderson Cancer Center)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proteome Characterization Centres (PCCs)</strong></td>
<td>Identification of cancer-specific proteins</td>
<td>Cancer Proteomic Center Center for Application of Advanced Clinical Proteomic Technologies for Cancer Proteo-Genomic Discovery Prioritization and Verification of Cancer Biomarkers Proteome Characterisation Centre and Vanderbilt Proteome Characterization Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Coordinating Center (DCC)</strong></td>
<td>Management of all generated data and transfer them to public databases (TCGA Data Portal and Cancer Genomics Hub)</td>
<td>University of California Santa Cruz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cancer Genomics Hub (CGHub)</strong></td>
<td>Storage, catalogue, and access to lower levels of cancer genome sequences and alignments</td>
<td>University of California Santa Cruz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genome Data Analysis Centers (GDACs)</strong></td>
<td>Development of novel informatics tools to facilitate with processing and integrating data analyses across the entire genome</td>
<td>Broad Institute, Cambridge, Massachusetts Institute for Systems Biology, Seattle, Washington, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, Oregon University of California, Santa Cruz, California Buck Institute for Research on Aging, Novato, California University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA): an immeasurable source of knowledge

only by data type but also by data level. Raw, non-nor-
malised data (Level I), processed data (Level II), and seg-
mented/interpreted data (Level III) apply to individual
samples, while summarised data (Level IV) refer to analy-
ses across sample sets. Importantly, data of level III and IV
are freely available from the publicly accessible databases,
but to access lower level (Level I and II) data, specific per-
missions must be acquired and granted [29].

Visualisation and analysis of the genomic data

Nowadays, next-generation sequencing (NGS) and ar-
ray-based profiling methods generate large amounts of
diverse types of genomic data enabling researchers to
study the cancer genome at an advanced level. Integrat-
ed multi-dimensional data visualisation is an essential
component of cancer genomic data analysis. Therefore,
demand for advanced comprehensive visualisation tools
has appeared allowing the emergence of numerous use-
ful imaging tools and databases, examples of which with
a short description are provided below [30, 31].

The Cancer Imaging Archive, TCIAl (http://www.can-
cerimagingarchive.net), is a service created by the NCI to
collect and share with the public a large number of medical
images of cancer (radiological imaging data), from TCGA
cases, thus e.g. supporting imaging phenotype-genotype
research [32].

Berkeley Morphometric Visualisation and Quanti-
fication from H&E sections (http://tcga.lbl.gov/biosig/
tcgadownload.do) is a data repository of computed histol-
ogy-based images of different tumour samples from TCGA
cases, and is sponsored by the Lawrence Berkeley National
Laboratory [33].

The Cancer Digital Slide Archive, CDSA (http://cancer.
digitalslidearchive.net/), is an on-line interactive tool for
viewing and annotating diagnostic and tissue slide imag-
es of different tumour types from TCGA project. The CDSA
was created by Dr. David Gutman and Dr. Lee Cooper of
Emory University in an effort to facilitate the broader ac-
cess to TCGA data [34].

The Broad GDAC Firehose (https://confluence.broa-
dinstitute.org/display/GDAC/Home) is an analytical in-
frastucture created at the Broad Institute based on the
needs of TCGA project to coordinate the flow of tera-
byte-scale cancer datasets, providing a large amount of
different quantitative algorithms such as GISTIC, MutSig,
Clustering, and Correlation [35].

The MD Anderson GDAC’s MBatch (http://bioinfor-
matics.mdanderson.org/tcgabatcheffects) is a website
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**Fig. 1. The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Research Network Centres flowchart. Based on [6]**

The TCGA structure involves several cooperating centres for processing the samples and managing all the obtained datasets. First, different Tissue Source Sites (TSSs) collect clinical metadata and biospecimens from eligible cancer patients. After preliminary pathology review, TSSs deliver biospecimens and metadata to the Biospecimen Core Resource (BCR), where they are approved. Next, the BCR catalogues and submits metadata to the Data Coordinating Centre (DCC), as well as processing and verifying the quality and quantity of isolated molecular analytes, which are further provided to Genome Characterisation Centres (GCCs) and Genome Sequencing Centres (GSCs) for further genomic characterisation and high-throughput sequencing. Then, sequence-related data are deposited in DCC. The GSCs also submit trace files, sequences, and alignment mappings to NCI’s Cancer Genomics Hub (CGHub) secure repository. Generated genomic data submitted to the DCC and CGHub are made available to the research community and Genome Data Analysis Centres (GDACs). The GDACs provide new information-processing, analysis, and visualisation tools to the entire research community. Furthermore, the information generated by the TCGA Research Network is centrally managed at the DCC and entered into public free-access databases (TCGA Portal, NCBI’s Trace Archive, CGHub), allowing scientists to continually access the cancer datasets.
that enables scientists to identify and quantify the batch effects accompanying TCGA data set, currently according to hierarchical clustering and enhanced PCA plots [36].

Cancer Genome Workbench, CGWB (https://cgwb.nci.nih.gov/), is an application developed by the NCI to integrate and display sample-level genomic and transcription alterations in various cancers, from data from several cancer projects, including TCGA. The major viewers in CGWB are Integrated tracks view, Heatmap view, and an alignment viewer called Bambino [37].

UCSC Cancer Genomics Browser (https://genome-cancer.soe.ucsc.edu/) is a suite of an open-access web-based tools developed and maintained by the UCSC Cancer Genomics Group to host, visualise, and analyse cancer genomics together with clinical data by utilising genomic coordinate heatmaps. The browser also provides interactive views of genomic regions with annotated biological pathways, as well as allowing for quantitative analysis within all available datasets through access to integrated statistical tools [38].

Integrative Genomics Viewer, IGV (http://www.broadinstitute.org/igv) is a freely-to-download, high-performance visualisation tool created by the Broad Institute for interactive exploration of large, heterogeneous, integrated data sets. Integrative Genomics Viewer allows easy analysis of user-prepared data or data from the IGV server, including some TCGA data. To facilitate viewing genomes, the IGV has coordinate-type data providing some genome annotations with specific labels [39, 40].

The CBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://cbioportal.org) is an open-access resource developed at the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Centre (MSKCC) for visualisation, analysis, and download of large-scale cancer genomics data sets. Additionally, the portal also allows for interactive exploration of custom datasets by access to OncoPrinter or MutatMapper web tools. Currently, the portal stores data from 69 cancer genomics studies (datasets from literature and TCGA portal) including DNA copy-number data, mRNA and miRNA expression data, mutations, RPPA data, DNA methylation data, and limited clinical data related to survival. Visualisation type involves networks, matrices, and heatmaps. The Cbio portal complements existing tools, such as the TCGA and IGCG data portals, the IGV, the UCSC Cancer Genomics Browser, and IntOGen [41, 42].

Regulome Explorer (http://explorer.cancerregulome.org/) is a web tool for the integrative exploration of associations between clinical and molecular features of TCGA data. Regulome enables users to search and visualise analytical data filtered according to user-specified parameters. Visualisation data types include circular and linear genomic coordinates and networks. Regulome Explorer is an effort by the Center for Systems Analysis of the Cancer Regulome (CSACR), linked to TCGA project, as well as a collaboration between the Institute for Systems Biology and The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center [43].

**New discoveries with The Cancer Genome Atlas data**

The Cancer Genome Atlas is an unprecedented and comprehensive publicly available collection of cancer genomic data providing researchers with a great possibility to expand current knowledge of carcinogenesis. As of 2014 more than 30 tumours have been analysed and the results published in prestigious articles such as Cell or Nature. Moreover, multidimensional analyses performed on distinct platforms provide scientists with better understanding of cancer biology, leading to improved cancer classification, development of new diagnostic methods and therapeutic approaches. A brief description of novel discoveries is provided below.

**Glioblastoma**

Glioblastoma (World Health Organization grade IV) was the first cancer studied by TCGA in a pilot study. This program led to the development of important principles in biospecimen banking and collection, and the establishment of the highly organised infrastructure that served similar efforts in further studies. Integrative analysis of genomic DNA copy number arrays, gene expression, and DNA methylation patterns in 206 cancer samples as well as nucleotide sequence aberrations in almost half of the samples pinpointed deregulation of RB, p53, and RTK/RAS/Pi3K pathways as obligatory events in virtually all glioblastoma tumours. Furthermore, the analysis of multidimensional genomic data suggests benefits from several therapeutic strategies: treatment with CDK inhibitors, PI3K, or PDK1 inhibitor or anti-RTK therapeutic cocktails, according to the presence of specific genomic alterations. Another observation with potential clinical implications is the link between the methylation status of MGMT promoter and MMR-defective hypermutator phenotype in glioblastomas treated with alkylating agents [44].

Moreover, in 2010 Verhaak et al. reported the molecular classification of glioblastoma tumours based on gene expression profiles and defined four subtypes of GBM: Proneural, Neural, Classical, and Mesenchymal. The importance of this classification lies in the specific therapeutic strategies that different subtypes require. Each class was associated with distinct DNA copy-number aberrations and somatic mutations. Alterations in EGFR, NFI, and PDGFRA/IDH1 each define the Classical, Mesenchymal, and Proneural subgroups, respectively. Survival analysis of aggressively treated patients demonstrates a clear treatment effect in the Classical and Mesenchymal subtypes and no survival advantage in the Proneural subgroup. Therefore, improved molecular understanding of GBM could ultimately result in beneficial personalised therapies [45].

Furthermore, profiling of promoter DNA methylation alterations in 272 glioblastoma tumours from TCGA database lead to identification of a glioma-CpG island methylator phenotype (G-CIMP), Noushmehr et al. identified a subgroup of GBM tumours with specific promoter DNA methylation status, which are more prevalent among lower-grade gliomas [46]. In addition, patients with G-CIMP are younger at time of diagnosis and display significantly improved survival. G-CIMP gliomas belong to the Proneural subgroup and are characterised by distinct copy-number alterations and a high frequency of IDH1 mutations. The identification of individual subsets of gliomas with specific clinical features has implications for differential therapeutic strategies for glioma patients.
In 2013, Brennan CW et al. confirmed that the survival advantage of the Proneural subgroup is associated with the G-CIMP phenotype, and the methylation status of MGMT promoter may serve as a predictive biomarker for treatment outcome only in the Classical subtype of GBM [47]. Although this work points out the limitations of TCGA data, e.g. the inability to map genetic and protein changes to the single cells or distinct cell populations within the tumour, the authors robustly highlight the importance of TCGA resource that would expand our understanding of this lethal disease.

Furthermore, cancer genomics researchers all around the world are intensively using TCGA data to develop and test hypotheses about how GBM evolves, leading to great discoveries suggesting potential drug targets in GBM and creating sophisticated approaches to select GBM patients that are most likely to respond to developed drug trials [48–52].

Together, those results emphasise the value and power of TCGA project, demonstrating how unbiased and systemic cancer genome analyses of large sample cohorts can rapidly expand our knowledge of the molecular basis of cancer.

Breast cancer

Integrated information from genomic DNA copy number arrays, DNA methylation, exome sequencing, mRNA arrays, microRNA sequencing, and RPPA was utilised to characterise molecular portraits of human breast tumours [53]. As expected, results from different platforms confirmed the existence of four main breast cancer classes. Besides identifying nearly all genes previously implicated in breast cancer, several novel, significantly mutated genes were identified, including TBX3, RUNX1, CBFB, AFF2, PIK3R1, PTPN22, PTPRD, NFI, SF3B1, and CCND3. The overall mutation rate was the lowest in the luminal A subtype and highest in the basal-like and HER2-positive subtypes. Applied genomic characterisations also indicated potential druggable targets. In luminal/ER-positive cancers, inhibitors of PI3K pathway may be beneficial due to the high frequency of PIK3CA mutations. Correspondingly, in HER2-positive tumours somatic mutations, including a high frequency of PIK3CA mutations, a lower frequency of PTEN and PIK3R1 mutations, and genomic losses of PTEN and INPP4B, represent potential therapeutic targets. Other possible targets include druggable mutations within the HER receptor family. On the other hand, the somatic mutation analysis for basal-like breast cancers has not provided a common drug targeted mutation apart from BRCA1 and BRCA2. However, comparison of basal-like breast cancers with high-grade serous ovarian tumours showed many molecular similarities, indicating a related aetiology and common therapeutic approaches, which is supported by the activity of platinum analogues and taxanes in breast basal-like and serous ovarian tumours.

Taken together, the integrated molecular analyses of breast carcinomas by TCGA Network significantly extends our knowledge base, which may result in enhanced therapeutic strategies.

Ovarian cancer

Ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma is a major type of ovarian cancer. The high mortality of ovarian cancer patients (only 31% of patients are expected to live for five years or more) is attributed to a lack of methods for early detection and treatment [54]. Recently TCGA researchers performed a wide-range analysis of the genomic and epigenetic changes that occur in high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (HGS-OvCa) and demonstrated several potential therapeutic targets. In their work published in 2011 in Nature, TCGA scientists analysed 489 tumour samples and determined the presence of TP53 mutation in almost all specimens (96%) and a low but significant frequency of somatic mutations in nine further genes, including BRCA1 and BRCA2 (mutated in 22% of tumours). Integrated multidimensional analyses led to the identification of four ovarian cancer transcriptional subtypes, three miRNA subtypes, four promoter methylation subtypes, and a transcriptional signature that is associated with survival outcome. However, the main goal of TCGA research is to identify new therapeutic approaches. Therefore, TCGA scientists imply opportunities for therapeutic attack in commonly dysregulated pathways: RB, RAS/PI3K, FOXM1, and NOTCH. Moreover, the research group from Johns Hopkins Medical Institution identified an amplified region in chromosome 19, containing a MACC1 gene known to contribute to chemoresistance. Analysing TCGA data, they demonstrated the correlation of amplified MACC1 with early tumour reoccurrence in ovarian cancer patients [55]. Furthermore, TCGA data have helped to shed light on the effect of BRCA1/2 mutations on ovarian cancer patients’ survival [56, 57]. Recent findings from analyses of the ovarian cancer dataset have the potential to enhance the therapeutic management of this deadly disease.

Lung cancer

Until 2012, genomic and epigenomic alterations in squamous cell lung cancers (SQCC) have not been comprehensively characterised. Therefore, TCGA network has undertaken the challenge to identify molecularly targeted agents for lung SQCC treatment based on genomic and epigenomic profiles of about 180 lung SQCCs [58]. Except for confirmation of complex genomic alterations characteristic for this cancer type and statistically recurrent mutations in previously reported signalling pathways, the effort of TCGA network has revealed thus far undiscovered loss-of-function mutations in the HLA-A class MHC I gene, which suggests a possible role for genotypic selection of patients for immunotherapy. Lung adenoacarcinoma is treated with targeted kinase inhibitors; however, they do not succeed in lung SQCC therapy. The observations presented in TCGA work suggest the demand for detailed analysis of clinical tumour specimens for a panel of specific mutations, which can help to select patients for appropriately targeted therapeutic strategies.

Colon and rectal cancer

Initially, colon and rectal cancers were considered as distinct groups and examined separately. However, exclud-
could have a great impact on other cellular pathways, to remodel complex (cantly mutated genes in PI3K/AKT pathway and genes highlighting potential therapeutic targets, including significant features and gene mutations [63]. Now, researchers therapy-resistance that can be defined by histopathological factors and gene mutations, into the pathways that are dysregulated in CRC. In 94% of analysed samples, a mutation in one or more members of the WNT signalling pathway occurred, mainly the APC gene. Therefore, WNT-signalling inhibitors as well as small-molecule β-catenin inhibitors may serve as therapeutic approaches to treating CRC [60–62]. Moreover, several proteins in the RTK-RAS and PI3K pathways, including IGF2, IGFIR, ERBB3, MEK, AKT, and MTOR could be targets for inhibition.

Clear cell renal cell carcinoma

Complex molecular characterisation of clear cell renal cell carcinoma (cccRCC) revealed correlation between metabolic shift and tumour aggressiveness. Cellular metabolism in cccRCC is remodelled by downregulation genes involved in the TCA (tricarboxylic acid) cycle, decreasing AMPK, and PTEN protein, and by upregulation of the pentose phosphate pathway and glutamine transporter genes, increasing acetyl-CoA carboxylase protein, and changing promoter methylation of MIR21 and GRB10. Thus, all those changes support tumour growth and result in worse survival outcome. Renal carcinomas are known for chemotherapy-resistance that can be defined by histopathological features and gene mutations [63]. Now, researchers highlight potential therapeutic targets, including significantly mutated genes in PI3K/AKT pathway and genes coding for the components of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodelling complex (PBRM1, ARID1A, SMARCA4), which could have a great impact on other cellular pathways, to treat advanced kidney cancer [64].

Acute myeloid leukaemia

The TCGA researchers have identified new genomic alterations that underlie the development of acute myeloid leukaemia (AML). Acute myeloid leukaemia is a relatively rare disease, still not fully understood, and difficult to treat. Surprisingly, the landscape of mutated genes across all studied cases revealed that AML cancers present the lowest mutation level among other adult types of cancer. The average of mutated genes account only for 13 mutations per case, of which 5 were recurrently mutated, indicating potential targeted therapy. Furthermore, each of the analysed samples showed at least one non-synonymous substitution of nine functionally correlated genes with pathogenesis, including the following: transcription-factor fusions (18% of cases), the gene encoding nucleophosmin (NPM1) (27%), tumour-suppressor genes (16%), DNA-methylation–related genes (44%), signalling genes (59%), chromatin modifying genes (30%), myeloid transcription-factor genes (22%), cohesin-complex genes (13%), and spliceosome-complex genes (14%). These data highlight the importance of looking into individual mutations for disease classification and prognostication [65].

Endometrial carcinoma

Integrated genomic and proteomic analysis of endometrial carcinoma has contributed to the identification of four types of the endometrioid tumours. Previous classification delineated only two major groups being insufficient overall for successful treatment, and contributing to placing the endometrial carcinoma as the sixth most common malignancy among women worldwide [66]. New genomic classification dividing endometrial cancer into four groups: (1) POLE ultramutated (exhibiting high mutation rates and hotspot mutations in the POLE gene involved in DNA replication and repair), (2) microsatellite instability hypermutated (showing a high mutation rate, few copy number alterations, not exhibiting mutations in the POLE gene), (3) copy-number low (presenting mutation in CTNNB1 gene critical for maintaining endometrium), and (4) copy-number high tumours (showing molecular landscape characteristic for serous tumours), will complement existing pathology methods with new potential treatment strategies. Moreover, endometrial cancer sharing similarities with breast, ovarian, and colorectal cancers may benefit from a similar course of treatment [67].

Urothelial bladder carcinoma

Comprehensive molecular characterisation of a major form of bladder cancer has provided new insights into the molecular basis of the disease and revealed new potential therapeutic targets for relevant altered genes and pathways. Bladder cancer is the leading major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide [68]. Current treatments for muscle-invasive bladder carcinoma are still limited to cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or surgery, without any second-line treatment, or any defined molecularly targeted factors [69]. Recently, the whole molecular landscape of bladder carcinoma has confirmed and extended current knowledge, highlighting 32 significantly mutated genes, along with nine new genes not previously reported. Most of the mutation events were observed in genes engaged in cell cycle regulation, cell growth, and development, indicating potential drug targets in the PI3K/AKT/MAPK pathway, as well as chromatin regulatory genes, which showed the highest mutation rate comparing to
other cancers. Recurring fusion of FGFR3-TACC3 associated with papillary morphology is also a promising therapeutic target. Moreover, four expression subtypes of bladder cancer were identified, with some subtypes similar to subtypes of breast, head and neck, and lung cancers, assuming the same ways of development, and similar drugs to apply [70].

Gastric adenocarcinoma

Complex statistical analyses of molecular data from 295 gastric tumours revealed new genetic subtypes of gastric adenocarcinoma. So far, classification of gastric cancers assumed the existence of two major types: intestinal or diffuse, according to Lauren classification [71]. Unfortunately, such classification is not sufficient for clinical utility and results in overall ineffective treatment. Surprisingly, utilisation of sophisticated bioplatforms in genetic, epigenetic, and protein alterations led to classification of gastric cancers into four subtypes. The first subtype, EBV-positive tumours (EBV), has been correlated with PIK3CA mutations, immense level of DNA hypermethylation, and amplification of JAK2, PD-L1, and PDCD1LG2. The second subtype, microsatellite unstable tumours (MSI), displays characteristic hypermutation phenotype, and down-regulation of MLH1 gene. The third subtype, genomically stable tumours (GS), has been associated with diffuse tumours, mutations of RHOA and CDH1, or fusions involving RHO-family GTP-ase-activating proteins. The last subtype of gastric adenocarcinoma, chromosomally unstable tumours (CIN), has been related with marked aneuploidy and focal amplification of receptor tyrosine kinases, as well with mutation of TP53. This novel classification of gastric cancer has opened a new road for drug discoveries, as well as better diagnosis and personalised treatment [72].

Pan-cancer project

The TCGA researchers have so far collected a broad range of genomic data of individual cancer types, yielding a better understanding of the biology and pathology of each tumour, and resulting in the development of specific treatment strategies. Furthermore, TCGA Pan-Cancer project, which aims to run new comprehensive integrated analysis of genomic data across multiple cancers, has been set up [73]. Increasing the number of tumour sample data sets in the project enhanced the statistical power and thus also the ability to detect and analyse molecular defects in cancers. Data of this project provide scientists with a lot of information concerning similarities and differences among the genomic and cellular changes in tumours, and help to cluster and develop cancer group-related therapy. Data and results of the Pan-cancer project are shared through the Synapse platform (http://sagebase.org/synapse/) [74].

In October 2013, researchers published the first set of papers related to multiple cancer-integrated analysis. One of the first cross-tumour analyses investigating the mechanisms underlying cancer initiation and progression was performed by Kandoth et al., showing the mutational landscape across 12 major cancer types already analysed by TCGA. The integrated data sets revealed 127 significantly mutated genes (SMGs) from various cellular processes involved in tumorigenesis. Moreover, common tumour-driving mutations and related mutations in BAP1, FBXW7, and TP53 were correlated with bad phenotypes across several cancer types. Furthermore, breast, head and neck, and ovarian clusters of TP53-driven cancers have been linked with a lack of other mutations in SMGs, suggesting application of basic therapy to treat this group of tumours [75]. New avenues to better understand the mechanisms of tumorigenesis also allowed Tamborero et al. to combine different complementary methods to define a reliable list of 291 high-confidence cancer driver genes among 12 cancer types [76]. Lawrence et al. complemented previous studies with the list of “true” genes responsible for the initiation and progression of cancer, by developing a novel analytical methodology (MutSigCV) eliminating the problem with false positive findings [77]. Another cross-tumour study utilising TCGA data published by Ciriello et al. indicated the landscape of oncogenic signature [78]. By inventing a new method combining specific algorithms and biological knowledge, they derived a tissue-independent hierarchical classification of thousands of tumours from 12 cancer types, identifying major classes based on large number of mutations (M class) or copy number alteration (C class). Although there are still limitations to the current data, this research provides deeper insight into the mechanisms of oncogenesis and potential class-specific combination therapy. Furthermore, Zack et al. expanded cancer studies to somatic copy number alteration (SCNA) patterns, delivering insights into mechanisms of generation and functional consequences of cancer-related SCNAs [79]. Moreover, a broad analysis of microRNA combining TCGA data and microRNA target atlas composed of publicly available Argonauta Crosslinking Immunoprecipitation (AGO-CLIP) data performed by Hamilton et al. revealed a pan-cancer co-regulated oncogenic microRNA “superfamily” [80]. Reman et al. demonstrated identification of SNVs (single nucleotide variants) in known phosphorylated sites of specific proteins utilising the newly developed ActiveDriver method [81]. Another work by Tang et al. demonstrated a reference viral-tumour map emphasising the importance of coadaptation between host and viral gene expression and extending current knowledge of viral aetiology in several cancers [82]. Besides looking into RNA and DNA changes across cancers, Li et al. focused on proteomics as a powerful new way to understand the pathophysiology and therapy of cancer. Utilising and developing RPPA technology created The Cancer Proteome Atlas (TCPA) database [83]. A recent multiplatform analysis of thousands of tumours from different cancer types performed by Hoadley et al. revealed molecular classification into 11 major subtypes within and across tissues of origin [84]. Although they found that five subtypes were very close to their tissue-of-origin counterparts, several unconnected cancer types grouped into common subtypes. Clusters of cancers including lung, head and neck, and a subset of bladder cancers each showed common TP53 alteration, TP63 amplification, and increased expression of immune and proliferation encoding genes. Importantly, three pan-cancer subtypes were discovered among bladder cancers. This
new molecular taxonomy gives independent information for predicting clinical outcomes and might also provide new insights for personalised medicine.

Future perspectives

Systematic advances in cancer genomics provided by TCGA have revealed a new comprehensive picture of the molecular biology of cancer. The application of sophisticated high-throughput technology together with well-developed bioinformatics tools has contributed to highlighting the similarities and differences in the genomic architecture of each cancer and across multiple types. The culmination of this effort has been a series of manuscripts published recently. The TCGA has provided a huge amount of publicly available data giving researchers around the world an immeasurable source of knowledge about cancer genetic and epigenetic profiles, highlighting candidate cancer biomarkers and drug targets. Moreover, translation of cancer genomics into therapeutics and diagnostics will provide a great potential to develop personalised cancer medicine. Furthermore, the next goal for scientists is to develop even better bioinformatics tools to eliminate potential noise and improve the resolution of the analysis, then look carefully into the data sets for new discoveries. In the near future, all novel findings will facilitate diagnosis, treatment, and cancer prevention. Progress in technology comes with progress in analysis, contributing to the expansion of knowledge of diseases, and which finally results in improvements in medicine. Recently researchers have gone further and are attempting to “teach” a machine—an artificially intelligent computer, called Watson—to support doctors in diagnosing patients [85, 86]. However, only time will show how fast advances will be incorporated into clinics.
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